

MINUTES OF THE MARCH 1, 2016, ROY CITY COUNCIL MEETING

1. Approval of February 16, 2016, minutes
2. Public hearing to consider an amendment to the Roy City Municipal Code regarding the Sign Ordinance
3. Consideration of Ordinance No. 16-2 establishing Title 13 of the Roy City Municipal Code as the City's Sign Ordinance
4. Consideration an alcoholic beverage license for Kent's Market-Kent-Roy, LLC located at 3536 West 5600 South
5. Consideration of Resolution No. 16-9 approving an agreement with Consolidated Paving and Concrete, Inc. for the 2800 West Storm Drain Project
6. Consideration of Resolution No. 16-8 adopting the Weber County Pre-Disaster Mitigation Plan
7. Consideration of Resolution No. 16-10 approving a job description and pay scale for Roy City Fire and Rescue Engineer position
8. Discussion regarding the Roy City Community Survey
9. Discussion regarding the City Council liaison program
10. City Manager's Report
11. Public comments
12. Mayor and Council reports
13. Motion to hold a closed meeting to discuss the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual(s) - tabled
14. Adjourn

Minutes of the Roy City Council Meeting held March 1, 2016, at 6:00 p.m. in the City Council Room of the Roy City Municipal Building.

The meeting was a regularly scheduled meeting designated by resolution. Notice of the meeting was provided to the *Standard Examiner* at least 24 hours in advance. A copy of the agenda was posted.

The following members were in attendance:

Mayor Willard Cragun	City Manager Andy Blackburn
Councilwoman Marge Becraft	City Attorney Clint Drake
Councilman Bob Dandoy	Secretary Michelle Drago
Councilman Brad Hilton	Youth City Council Rosalie Beeli
Councilman Dave Tafoya	
Councilwoman Karlene Yeoman	

Also present were: Mike Wallace, Street Superintendent; Cathy Spencer, Management Services Director; Jason Poulsen, Fire Chief; Carl Merino, Police Chief; Steve Parkinson, Planner; Spencer Padovich; Jordan Gibson; Nate Bybee; Alyssia Lee; Vipenjit Singhi; Brayden Montano; Stockton Dawson; Cody Fast; Kentra Nielson; Macey Woods; William Norseth; Geraldine Trickett; Daniel Barrett; Lela Argyle; Susan Barnard; Elizabeth Beeli; Emily Beeli; Greg Sagen; Tylor Noble; Marty Clements; Harold Clements; Nikki Jeske; Dawson Jeske; and Kacie Larsen.

Moment of Silence: Councilman Hilton

Pledge of Allegiance: Daniel Barrett, Pack 374

1. APPROVAL OF FEBRUARY 16, 2016, MINUTES

Councilwoman Becraft moved to approve the minutes of February 16, 2016, as written. Councilman Dandoy seconded the motion. Council members Becraft, Dandoy, Hilton, Tafoya, and Yeoman voted “aye.” The motion carried.

2. PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER AN ANMENDMENT TO THE ROY CITY MUNICIPAL CODE REGARDING THE SIGN ORDINANCE

Councilman Hilton moved to open the public hearing at 6:03 p.m. Councilwoman Yeoman seconded the motion. Council members Becraft, Dandoy, Hilton, Tafoya, and Yeoman voted “aye.” The motion carried.

Steve Parkinson, Planner, stated that started on February 27, 2015, the Planning Commission started working on a new Sign Ordinance. It held several work sessions and finally a public hearing on February 23, 2016. As a former member of the Planning Commission, Councilman Dandoy was helped review the biggest changes.

Mr. Parkinson said the City's current Sign Ordinance was found in two different sections of the Municipal Code – Title 9 the Construction Code and Title 10 the Zoning

Ordinance. The two locations made it cumbersome to use. It was not user friendly and referred to zones the City no longer had.

Mr. Parkinson said one of the biggest changes to the Sign Ordinance was temporary sign regulations. The current regulations made every temporary sign in the City illegal. The Planning Commission felt businesses needed signs, and it wanted to give businesses more support than the current regulations allowed. More and more businesses wanted electronic message center signs (EMC's). However, under the City's current sign regulations EMC's were a conditional use and limited to certain intersections and streets. Not all businesses could have them. The Planning Commission felt that if businesses could have EMC's some of the temporary signs would go away. Another significant change was moving all of the sign regulations to one location in the Municipal Code – Title 13. It was more user friendly. The Planning Commission looked at the aesthetics of the City, and the ability of businesses to bring in business. They also realized there needed to be a limit on the number of signs a business could have. They considered how to address signs for businesses in strip malls.

In response to a question from Councilman Tafoya, Mr. Parkinson said the businesses with two frontages could have a temporary sign on each frontage. Councilman Tafoya said that Kent's had an EMC sign but still up cardboard signs every weekend. Mr. Parkinson explained that the cardboard signs were illegal under the current sign regulations and would be illegal under the new ones.

Mr. Parkinson said the new Sign Ordinance would require businesses to obtain a no-fee permit for all temporary signs to help the City understand when a sign would be put up and when it would be taken down.

Councilwoman Yeoman asked about the difference between one temporary sign every quarter and 30-day permit. Could a business put up a new sign every 30 days? Mr. Parkinson said a business would be allowed one temporary sign for 30 days every quarter. The business could change the copy on the temporary sign during that 30-day period.

Councilman Tafoya asked why a business had to have a permit. Mr. Parkinson explained that the Code Enforcement Officer needed to know when a sign was going up and when it would come down.

Councilman Tafoya asked the new sign regulations would allow a business to have an A-frame sign if it was taken down every night. Mr. Parkinson said that was correct.

Steve Parkinson stated the new sign regulations would allow EMC's in every zone, but the number EMC's per location was limited. Not every business in a strip mall could have an EMC. The new sign regulations also addressed billboards. Billboard signs were still now allowed, but the locations where current billboard signs could be relocated had

changed. The actual square footage of sign space allowed per business and the height of signs had remained the same.

Councilman Dandoy felt the best way to look at temporary signs was how a business owner would use them. He felt a small part of the new regulations was dictating when a business could have a sale. He felt that put a burden on business owners. Limiting temporary signs to one per quarter was too constraining.

Steve Parkinson stated that while the Planning Commission was considering regulations for temporary signs it had discussed allowing one per twelve holidays throughout the year, plus three other promotions at the owner's discretion. Councilman Dandoy didn't like the holidays. The holidays were dropped. The resulting trade off was one temporary sign per quarter.

Councilman Dandoy said he was fundamentally opposed to the holidays was because they were Christian holidays. There was not a provision for other holidays, except for two. Who was the City to define when a business owner could hold a sale? His calendar contained over 25 holidays, not all of them were Christian. Under the proposed regulations of one temporary sign per quarter, a business could hold a sale on the 4th of July but not Labor Day.

Steve Parkinson asked the City Council to consider what they wanted the City to look like.

Mayor Cragun asked if the new sign regulations had been discussed with the business owners. Mr. Parkinson said he schedule and advertised a meeting with business owners to discuss new sign regulations. Only ten owners of the three hundred businesses in Roy attended. When he proposed regulations allowing one temporary sign for twelve holidays, plus three additional promotions, none of the ten had any concerns.

Councilman Tafoya asked why the City was limiting the number of temporary signs. Why did it care how many a business put up?

Councilman Dandoy stated that the intent of the new sign regulations was to reduce the visual clutter in the City. The new regulations proposed that a business have one temporary sign. The no fee permit would increase the workload for the planning staff, but it would help the Code Enforcement Officer know when a temporary sign needed to come down. He felt the new regulations would take half of the current signs off the street and assist the City's beautification efforts.

Steve Parkinson stated that if businesses were allowed to have one temporary sign all of the time, there would not be a need for a sign permit.

Councilman Tafoya didn't want businesses to have to obtain a permit every quarter.

Mayor Cragun was concerned the City was becoming too restrictive. Would the City become known as control center. In the last twenty years a lot of businesses had left Roy City. The City was trying to bring economic development back. He wanted Roy to be business friendly.

Steve Parkinson stated that a community to the south had stricter regulations than Roy, and it was thriving. It was a matter of how a community looked. For the last twenty years, businesses in Roy had had *carte blanche*. When the staff began talking to businesses about the new sign regulations, it would be difficult. He felt the City needed to improve its image.

Councilman Tafoya asked if the temporary signs regulations just applied to signs placed outside of a building. Steve Parkinson said it did. However, the new sign regulations also addressed window signs. Current sign regulations allowed a business to use 25% of their window space for advertising. The new regulations actually increased that amount to 30%.

Councilman Tafoya asked how the staff planned to notify businesses about the new sign regulations. Steve Parkinson stated that a three-member team consisting of the Code Enforcement Officer, Building Inspector, and himself would visit each business in the City to let them know about the new regulations and the date of its implementation. After the implementation date, they would begin to enforce the new regulations.

Councilman Tafoya asked about penalties. Mr. Parkinson said penalties would be the same as the Nuisance Ordinance – a fix ticket; a ticket; and then a fine.

Councilman Tafoya asked if the City's own signs complied with the new regulations. Mr. Parkinson said the sign regulations addressed civic and community signs. He felt the City's signs would comply.

Mayor Cragun asked about the temporary signs posted in park strips and on telephone poles. Mr. Parkinson said they were illegal. The Code Enforcement Office had been instructed to remove all signs from park strips. Mayor Cragun asked if the Sign Ordinance gave police officers authority to stop people from putting signs in park strips and on telephone poles. Mr. Parkinson felt it did. If an officer saw someone putting up illegal signs, they had the authority to stop them.

Mayor Cragun asked about yard sale signs. Councilman Dandoy said the sign regulations would not limit a homeowner's free speech right on his own property. Mayor Cragun wanted to restrict clutter. It didn't do any good to adopt new regulations, if the City did not act on them. He felt the City paid the Code Enforcement Officer to take down signs. Councilman Dandoy said the new sign regulations addressed people using telephone poles, fences, stop signs, and other public space for advertising. It was illegal.

Steve Parkinson stated that it was not possible to write a regulation that would address everything.

Councilman Dandoy felt the new Sign Ordinance read really well. The only provision that concerned him was temporary sign regulations. If Item 12 was struck, he felt the Sign Ordinance would accomplish what the City wanted. It would clean up the streets.

Councilwoman Yeoman felt the Sign Ordinance should be sent back to the Planning Commission to look at temporary signs. Mr. Parkinson said the way the new ordinance was written, a business could have an A-frame sign every day as long as it was taken down every night. In addition to the A-frame sign, the Council was considering allowing a business to have one temporary sign all of the time.

Councilman Hilton felt Steve Parkinson and the Planning Commission had put together a good ordinance. He was concerned about regulations for political signs. He felt candidates should be allowed to keep their signs up from the Primary Election to the General Election. It was a lot of work to put up and take down signs for a few days between the elections.

Councilman Tafoya was on the opposite side. He hated the clutter of election signs. There could be four months between the Primary and General Elections. Political signs became part of the City's overall clutter problem.

Councilwoman Becraft stated that from the perspective of the Beautification Committee, she hoped the new sign regulations would improve the City's appearance. It might take some time.

Councilman Hilton felt the City Council needed to allow the staff time to notify businesses about the new regulations and for them to come into compliance.

Councilwoman Yeoman asked if the Council was directing the staff to change the proposed sign regulations.

Councilman Dandoy felt Item 12 of the temporary sign regulations should be taken out. It was the only thing in the new Sign Ordinance that he had an issue with. The Planning Commission spent a year working on the new regulations. If that particular part of the new Sign Ordinance was fixed, the new regulations would do what they were intended to do – address beautification. If the temporary sign regulations were not changed, businesses would not have options.

Councilwoman Yeoman felt businesses should be able to have one temporary sign per frontage. Mr. Parkinson said that is what the new regulations provided. If the temporary sign regulations were changed, businesses could have two temporary signs every day – an A-frame sign and a temporary sign. Councilwoman Yeoman said A-frame signs were put up and taken down every day. Councilman Hilton felt there were businesses that would never take their A-frame signs down. Councilwoman Yeoman did not feel businesses should be limited to one temporary sign per quarter.

Steve Parkinson said a business could have an EMC, an A-frame sign, a temporary sign, and a permanent pole sign.

Councilman Dandoy asked if the Council could approve the body the Sign Ordinance with a few exceptions. Clint Drake, City Attorney, said the Council could make whatever changes it wanted.

Councilman Tafoya asked if any existing signs would become legally non-conforming, or 'grandfathered.' Steve Parkinson said the only signs that could become legally non-conforming were those that were legal when the new Sign Ordinance is adopted. Every temporary sign in the City was currently illegal.

Clint Drake stated that most, if not all, signs that required time and expense to install would be permitted by the new regulations, or they would become non-conforming. Temporary signs had been allowed for a long time, but they were not a good thing to continue. He was comfortable moving forward with the new Sign Ordinance and enforcing it. The City Council did not have to approve the Sign Ordinance tonight. There were always opportunities to digest it and discuss it again.

Mayor Cragun opened the floor for public comments.

Lella Argyle, 2813 West 4925 South, felt some of the reasoning behind the new sign regulations went against marketing guidelines which said to put up signs with bright colors to draw attention. Business in Roy had not really grown, except along Midland Drive. She did not know what cities around Roy were doing. If the City had too many regulations, businesses would go. She did have a few concerns about over regulating signs. Would the signs for AYSO be allowed? The City could ask the businesses what they were willing to clean up. If businesses had more input, they would be more compliant. She was also concerned about political signs and agreed there were too many yard sale signs around the City.

Councilman Tafoya asked about signs for organizations like AYSO. Steve Parkinson said non-profit organizations were considered businesses. Their signs were off-premise signs, which were prohibited by both the current and proposed sign regulations. He suggested that the definition for civic and community signs be changed to include non-profit organizations.

Elizabeth Beeli, 2730 West 4800 South, felt that the way the new Sign Ordinance was written prevented businesses from doing anything until the City said they could. For instance, the sign regulations prohibited balloons. Did the City want a code it did not want to enforce? She felt requiring home businesses to have their signs flat against their homes was restrictive. She felt some requirements were excessive, such as requiring pole signs to be wrapped.

Councilman Tafoya stated the City had not enforced the Sign Ordinance because it had not had the fortitude to do so. The City currently employed one and a half Code

Enforcement Officers. That was not enough personnel to enforce the Sign Ordinance along with the Zoning Ordinance. He agreed that whatever sign regulations the Council adopted would have to be enforced, or the regulations would fall through the cracks. Lack of enforcement was why the City had ended up where it was today.

Steve Parkinson stated that the reason behind the regulations for home businesses was to maintain the appearance of the home. The size and location of a sign for home businesses had not changed. Balloons were not allowed because the City did not want businesses to use giant balloons for advertising. No ordinance was perfect. An ordinance could not address every aspect. If a use was not listed, it was not allowed.

Councilman Dandoy felt changing the definition for community and civic signs would address signs for youth sports organizations.

Councilwoman Yeoman was not ready to vote on the new Sign Ordinance.

Councilwoman Becraft moved to close the public hearing at 7:10 p.m. Councilwoman Yeoman seconded the motion. Council members Becraft, Dandoy, Hilton, Tafoya, and Yeoman voted “aye.” The motion carried.

3. CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE NO. 16-2 ESTABLISHING TITLE 13 OF THE ROY CITY MUNICIPAL CODE AS THE CITY'S SIGN ORDINANCE

Steve Parkinson stated that if the City Council wanted to take time to review the proposed Sign Ordinance further, it could do so. If the Council found sections they did not agree with, they could be changed. He did not feel the proposed ordinance needed to be sent back to the Planning Commission.

Councilman Tafoya suggested that the Council discuss the proposed Sign Ordinance in a work session.

Councilwoman Yeoman moved to table consideration of Resolution No. 16-2. Councilman Tafoya seconded the motion. Councilmembers Becraft, Dandoy, Hilton, Tafoya, and Yeoman voted “aye.” The motion carried.

4. CONSIDERATION OF AN ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE LICENSE FOR KENT'S MARKET-KENT-ROY, LLC LOCATED AT 3536 WEST 5600 SOUTH

Cathy Spencer stated that the ownership of Kent's Market had changed. The new owner had applied for a Class A Beer license.

Councilman Tafoya moved to approve an alcoholic beverage license for Kent's Market-Kent-Roy, LLC. Councilman Hilton seconded the motion. Council members Becraft, Dandoy, Hilton, Tafoya, and Yeoman voted “aye.” The motion carried.

5. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 16-9 APPROVING AN AGREEMENT CONSOLIDATED PAVING AND CONCRETE, INC. FOR THE 2800 WEST STORM DRAIN PROJECT

Mike Wallace, Street Superintendent, stated that on Wednesday, February 17th, bids were opened for the 2800 West Storm Drain Project. Six contractors responded with bids ranging from \$155,866.88 to \$217,474.25. The Engineer's Estimated was \$170,000.00. Engineering and staff recommended that the contract for the 2800 West Storm Drain Project be awarded to Consolidated Paving and Concrete, Inc. for \$155,866.88. Mr. Wallace said \$220,000.00 had been budgeted for this project.

Councilman Dandoy asked where the project was located. Mike Wallace explained that the storm water generated on the streets immediately east of the golf course had nowhere to go. This project would install a storm drain through the golf course to 5200 South.

Councilman Hilton moved to approve Resolution No. 16-9 approving an agreement with Consolidated Paving and Concrete, Inc. for the 2800 West Storm Drain Project and to authorize the Mayor to sign the Notice of Award and Contract Agreement. Councilwoman Becraft seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken: Council members Hilton, Tafoya, Becraft, Dandoy, and Yeoman voted "aye." The motion carried. (Copy filed for record).

6. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 16-8 ADOPTING THE WEBER COUNTY PRE-DISASTER MITIGATION PLAN

Fire Chief Jason Poulsen stated that Weber County had been working on a disaster mitigation plan for over a year. FEMA had approved the plan. Now every city had to adopt a resolution adopting the plan. The resolutions would be sent back to FEMA. Resolution No. 16-8 said Roy City would adopt and take part of the Weber County Pre-Disaster Mitigation Plan.

Councilman Tafoya moved to approve Resolution No. 16-8 adopting the Weber County Pre-Disaster Mitigation Plan. Councilwoman Yeoman seconded the motion. A Roll call vote was taken: Council members Yeoman, Dandoy, Tafoya, Becraft, and Hilton voted "aye." The motion carried. (Copy filed for record).

7. CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION NO. 16-10 APPROVING A JOB DESCRIPTION AND PAY SCALE FOR ROY CITY FIRE AND RESCUE ENGINEER POSITION

Fire Chief Jason Poulsen stated that after speaking with the City Manager he had decided to ask for this item to be tabled. It would be hard to ask the Council to discuss it without a job description or salary range. He asked that Resolution No. 16-10 be tabled until the Council could discuss it in a work session.

The City Council agreed to schedule a work session on Tuesday, March 8th, at 6:00 p.m. in the Hope Center.

Councilman Hilton moved to table consideration of Resolution No. 16-10. Councilman Tafoya seconded the motion. Council members Becraft, Dandoy, Hilton, Tafoya, and Yeoman voted “aye.” The motion carried.

8. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE ROY CITY COMMUNITY SURVEY

Councilman Dandoy stated that he had held two meetings with Weber State University about assisting the City with a community survey. Everything seemed to be ready. Weber State had asked the City to generate topics for the survey. He asked that Council members, Youth City Council, City administration, and department heads formulate questions they would like the survey to address. He asked that the questions be submitted to him by Monday, March 7th, so he could be prepared for a meeting with Weber State on Tuesday.

Councilman Hilton stated that the department heads were busy. How many questions did they need to submit? Councilman Dandoy felt five to ten would be enough. The questions would be compiled into topics. He was sure there would be duplicates but felt there would be enough for 25 to 30 questions. Councilman Hilton suggested that the fact sheet provided by Councilman Dandoy be forwarded to the department heads to help them come up with their questions.

Councilman Dandoy stated that Weber State still planned to conduct a hand survey. The City would be broken into ten quadrants. A total of 650 responses were needed from the City's 37,000 residents. There had to be a certain number from each quadrant. The survey would be available on the City's website. Participants would have to give their address. The survey could also be sent out with the City's utility bills. The best method was door-to-door. Weber State was prepared to conduct the survey over one to two nights.

Councilman Tafoya asked how the survey would be conducted. If the survey was taken during the day, most of the responses would be from the older generation. Councilman Dandoy said the survey would be conducted at different times of the day.

9. DISCUSSION REGARDING THE CITY COUNCIL LIAISON PROGRAM

Mayor Cragun felt the City Council liaison program with the City's departments was a good program and had been effective. He felt the department heads valued it. The feedback from the Council had been positive. Councilman Dandoy had brought up a valid point. The program could not be allowed to circumvent City management. If problems came to the attention of Council members, they needed to be brought to the attention of the City Manager. He did not want to create a path for employees to go around the City's management team. The City Council needed to let City management do their jobs.

Councilman Dandoy stated that the Mayor had the authority to appoint members of the City Council to administer certain departments in the municipality. It was unlikely that would happen. Such jurisdiction circumvented the City Manager's authority. He had seen similar situations too many times in his federal career. When the line of authority was broken, it undermined the credibility of the leader. He liked and appreciated the input from the department heads, but it was a challenge not to circumvent the City Manager in trying to fix problems for the department heads. He cautioned the Council members not to put department heads in compromising positions by directing them to do something without making the City Manager fully aware of it. The Council members could listen to department heads, but they could not direct them. In the end, the Mayor and City Manager were legally responsible for the management of the City.

Mayor Cragun had hoped the liaison program would help Council members establish relationships with department heads. He asked Council members how they felt about the program.

Councilman Hilton stated that every member of the Council was aware that they were not directing a department. The program had helped him learn about the departments and understand the perspective of the department head.

Councilwoman Yeoman had thoroughly enjoyed the program. None of the Council members tried to come between the department heads and the City Manager. The program was simply an opportunity to get to know the department heads better.

Councilman Tafoya stated that from a legal standpoint the Council members were the people who made the decisions. The Mayor only voted under certain circumstances. The City Council decided what direction to give the department heads. The City Council was the body who decided whether they wanted a liaison program or not.

Councilwoman Becraft said the program had helped her get to know the employees.

Councilwoman Yeoman felt department heads liked Council members coming in. Council members were becoming part of the staff.

Mayor Cragun said the program was meant to be transparent. It was meant to take fear out of the relationship between Council members and the employees. He wanted everyone to work together as a team.

Councilwoman Yeoman moved to continue the Council liaison program which had been set in place. Councilwoman Becraft seconded the motion. Council members Becraft, Hilton, Tafoya, and Yeoman voted "aye." Councilman Dandoy voted "nay." The motion carried.

10. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT

Andy Blackburn, City Manager, reported that:

- The administration was working on the annual benchmark survey for the Utah League of Cities and Towns. The League had forgotten to give the survey to the City earlier in the year. The survey had to be finished by March 2nd. Because of the survey, the administration would not have much time to prepare for the work session on March 8th.
- The striping on Midland Drive was finished. The barricades would be removed any day, and the road would be open.
- An appraisal had been completed on property the City had received an offer for. Mr. Blackburn felt the City should move forward with the sale. The Council would discuss it further in a closed meeting.
- Harmon's was okay with the City moving forward with its sign and water feature. He spoke with the State last week. The City would have to purchase the property. The price of the property was about the same amount owed the City by the State. It would take a couple of weeks to complete the purchase process. Councilman Tafoya asked if the sign and water feature would have to be rebid. Mr. Blackburn said the City would be able to do some of the work. The rest would have to be bid out again. Councilman Tafoya asked if the City could bid the work while it was waiting for the purchase to close.
- The City had resolved the issues with Weber School District regarding their contribution for construction of the 4000 South Roundabout. He was preparing a memo to send to the school district so the City could receive about \$100,000.
- He met with UDOT along with the Public Works Director and City Engineering to resolve charges for Midland Drive the City did not feel it was responsible for. UDOT had agreed to reimburse the City \$100,000.
- Notices regarding the annexation would be sent to property owners on Monday, March 7th.
- Work was proceeding on the North Park restrooms. Most of the exterior work was done.
- The administration was monitoring House Bill 180. It would create an exemption from sales tax.
- Travis Flint recommended that the City's annual Day of Service be held on Saturday, August 27th, rather than the Saturday after Labor Day. The weather would still be warm; and the Aquatic Center would still be open for the season, which would save money in operating costs.
- On March 12th, the City's Recreation Complex would hold its annual Gold Dig and Gold Dig 5K. Councilman Hilton asked if the City planned to host the annual Cops and Robbers 5K. Mr. Blackburn said he would check.

11. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Bill Norseth, 4389 South 2525 West, stated that when he called to schedule a dumpster he was told the City was no longer running the dumpster program. He felt that was ridiculous! He would understand the City not offering dumpsters on the street, but dumpsters at the Shop were controlled. They could be monitored even closer if the City

started asking for driver's licenses. What was the City going to do with the garbage that would be left on the streets?

Mayor Cragun explained that the dumpster program was very expensive. The City was always looking for ways it could conserve money. There wasn't another city in the County that had a similar program. He felt the City could still serve the needs of the citizens by offering a dumpster at the City shop two weeks in April, July, and October.

Mr. Norseth didn't care about other cities. He cared about Roy. Offering a dumpster at the shop for only a few weeks a summer was a ridiculous solution. Getting rid of the dumpster program would come back to bite the City.

Marty Clements, 2815 West 5775 South, felt the dumpster program set Roy City apart and helped keep the streets and yards clean. The City had gone from dumpsters at the Shop and on the streets to only dumpsters at the Shop a few weeks a summer. She urged the City to continue the dumpster program. At least keep the dumpsters at the Shop.

Mayor Cragun stated that recent changes in State and federal storm water regulations required the City to cover the dumpsters. If the dumpsters weren't covered, the City would be liable for EPA violations. He liked the dumpster program too, but the tonnage costs kept going up. The City had to look at what was economical and fiscally sound.

Councilman Dandoy stated that State and federal water quality laws had changed to the extent that Salt Lake City recently received a \$300,000 fine. Roy City did not have money to cover such a fine. Due to the time of year, the Council had to make an immediate decision about continuing or discontinuing the dumpster program, but he felt the Council could take time to consider a solution that would be a benefit to the citizens.

Lella Argyle, 2813 West 4925 South, stated that the City had a Nuisance Ordinance citizens were required to comply with. Some citizens did not have a way to get rid of refuse. She felt the City needed to give citizens some options. Maybe citizens could schedule a specific time to have a dumpster stop at their house.

Ms. Argyle expressed concern about the City's requirement for a trailer to be parked in a side yard or rear yard on concrete or gravel. She felt gravel was a poor choice. Over time it became infested with weeds. The weeds could only be controlled with poison which then seeped into the water system. She felt parking a trailer on grass would be better than gravel. Grass could be mowed.

Mayor Cragun said a trailer could be parked on cement pavers. Clint Drake, City Attorney, explained that the whole purpose of the Nuisance Ordinance was to prevent noxious weeds and rodents. He wasn't sure parking trailers on cement pavers would comply with the Nuisance Ordinance. Mayor Cragun suggested putting information about parking trailers on the City's website and in the newsletter.

12. MAYOR AND COUNCIL REPORTS

Mayor Cragun felt the City was in great shape. The new library was beautiful. He encouraged the residents to visit it. He was sure it would be well utilized. Beautification of the City was moving forward. A team had been assembled to address economic development. The new Sign Ordinance would help clean up the City. He thanked the City Council for the work they did.

Rosalie Beeli, Youth City Council, said she loved being involved in local politics. She reported that members of the Roy High Debate Team recently qualified for State. She would send her survey questions to Councilman Dandoy.

Councilwoman Becraft asked if the Mayor was still looking for a list of youth in the community to recognize. Mayor Cragun said he was. He asked Chief Merino to ask the resource officers to help compile a list of youth to recognize. There were many youth in the community who did outstanding jobs. The youth of today were the leaders of tomorrow. He wanted to do what he could to get them involved.

The Council members agreed that the topics for the March 8th work session should be the Fire Department's engineer position, the budget, the dumpster program, and the Sign Ordinance.

13. MOTION TO HOLD A CLOSED MEETING TO DISCUSS THE CHARACTER, PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE, OR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH OF AN INDIVIDUAL(S) – TABLED

Councilman Tafoya moved to table the closed meeting. Councilman Hilton seconded the motion. Council members Becraft, Dandoy, Hilton, Tafoya, and Yeoman voted "aye." The motion carried.

14. ADJOURN

Councilman Hilton moved to adjourn at 8:20 p.m. Councilman Dandoy seconded the motion. Council members Becraft, Dandoy, Hilton, Tafoya, and Yeoman voted "aye".

Willard Cragun
Mayor

Attest:

Amy Mortenson
Recorder